



Nadya Davis

Partner
303.245.2099
Boulder
ncdavis@hollandhart.com



Amy Tindell, Ph.D.

Partner
303.473.2735
Boulder
ajtindell@hollandhart.com

Satan Shoes: Trademark Blasphemy or Free Speech?

Insight — April 13, 2021

IPWatchdog.com

This article originally appeared in the April 13, 2021 online edition of IPWatchdog.com, an online Intellectual Property Journal.

"For Nike's part, given the public protest, its aggressive response made sense. But so, too, did its ultimate decision to settle for a voluntary rather than a mandatory recall.... [F]orcing a mandatory recall was unlikely to increase Nike's popularity among the adoring sneakerheads who paid over \$1,000 to purchase the collectible Satan Shoes."

Following the quickly-settled trademark dispute Nike filed against Brooklyn art collective MSCHF Product Studio, Inc. over the release of Lil Nas X's "Satan Shoes," Nadya Davis and Amy Tindell explore the merit behind "First Sale" Doctrine and First Amendment defenses to Nike's claims of trademark infringement, trademark dilution, false designation of origin, and unfair competition.

In addition to the analysis of these arguments and their rebuttals, the article draws attention to the equally important public controversy and settlement that resulted in a voluntary recall of the sneakers, allowing Nike to protect its brand without alienating its customer base.

Please click [here](#) to read the full article: Satan Shoes: Trademark Blasphemy or Free Speech?

About the Authors:

Nadya Davis is a partner with Holland & Hart who focuses her practice on assisting local and international brand owners with all aspects of prosecution, use, and enforcement of their most valuable intellectual property assets.

Amy Tindell, Ph.D is an attorney with Holland & Hart who counsels clients in a diverse range of industries on trademark prosecution and enforcement, both domestically and internationally.

Subscribe to get our Insights delivered to your inbox.

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.