Holland & Hart



Samantha Wolfe

Partner 303.295.8479 Denver sdwolfe@hollandhart.com

Navigating Administrative Processing and Heightened Visa Vetting Procedures

Insight — April 24, 2025

In the past three months, the Trump administration has implemented a series of stringent immigration policies that have significantly impacted visa applicants and their sponsors. These measures include enhanced security screenings, increased administrative processing, and expanded social media vetting by the U.S. Department of State (DOS). Notably, the administration has mandated social media vetting for all U.S. visa applicants who have visited the Gaza Strip since January 1, 2007, and has revoked over 300 student visas linked to social media posts deemed inconsistent with U.S. interests. Additionally, the DOS has updated visa interview waiver eligibility criteria, resulting in sudden appointment cancellations and additional administrative processing for some applicants. These developments underscore the importance of proactive preparation and awareness for foreign nationals and sponsoring employers navigating the evolving U.S. immigration landscape.

Key Developments

- 1. Increased Use of Administrative Processing
 - After a visa interview, some cases are delayed under Section 221(g) for additional review, known as "administrative processing."
 - This often involves interagency security checks and may take several weeks or months. In some cases, a visa denial may follow.
 - DOS does not notify attorneys of the initiation or outcome of administrative processing, making proactive communication with the applicant essential.

2. Social Media Vetting and Visa Revocations

- DOS now routinely requests social media identifiers from visa applicants and may analyze public content for potential security flags.
- In recent months, there has been a notable increase in F-1 student visa revocations linked to social media posts deemed "inconsistent with U.S. interests," often without advance notice or opportunity to respond.
- Revoked visas may impact a student's SEVIS record, U.S. reentry eligibility, and future visa applications.
- 3. Security Clearance Triggers

Holland & Hart

- Common red flags include: certain nationalities or countries of birth, fields of study listed on the Technology Alert List (e.g., nuclear physics, AI), prior military or government service, or past visa refusals.
- Clearance may be delayed if applicants work in sensitive industries or if consular officers suspect misrepresentation or document fraud.

Best Practices for Employers and Applicants

• Before the Interview:

- Prepare applicants for possible administrative processing, especially if they fall into higher-risk categories.
- Ensure all information provided on the DS-160, social media, and supporting documentation is consistent and accurate.
- If Administrative Processing is Initiated:
 - Monitor case status using the Consular Electronic Application Center (CEAC) portal.
 - Avoid contacting the consulate excessively—most will not expedite review absent compelling humanitarian or national interest factors.
 - Consult legal counsel if the case remains pending for more than 60 days or if additional requests are received.

Responding to Visa Revocation Notices:

- Promptly engage counsel to assess grounds and explore options for reinstatement or reapplication.
- Consider FOIA requests to understand the basis for revocation or refusal.

Proactive Risk Mitigation:

• Review applicants' public social media content for material that may be misconstrued.

Given the rapidly evolving landscape of U.S. visa adjudications under the current administration, foreign nationals and their sponsoring employers must be prepared for increased scrutiny and potential delays at every stage of the process. Visa issuance is no longer a predictable or procedural matter; consular officers are exercising broader discretion, and policies are shifting quickly in response to national security directives. Strategic preparation—particularly for individuals in sensitive fields or from regions subject to enhanced vetting—is essential to mitigate the risk of administrative processing delays, visa refusals, or unexpected revocations. Employers should also consider building in additional time and contingency planning for international assignments or onboarding timelines.

For case-specific guidance or to develop a risk mitigation strategy tailored to your organization, we encourage you to contact your immigration counsel for support.

Holland & Hart

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.