

Adam Bouka

Associate 801.799.5976 Salt Lake City ABouka@hollandhart.com

New Al Hiring Rules and Lawsuits Put Employers on Notice: What HR Needs to Know

Insight — May 22, 2025

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming how companies find, evaluate, and hire talent—but it's also raising red flags among regulators and courts. Two big developments in May 2025 show that **HR teams must take a closer look at their hiring tools** to avoid legal and compliance risks.

Let's break it down.

What's Happening in California?

California is preparing to implement new civil rights regulations that are likely to impact the use of automated decision-making systems (ADSs) in employment and other state-supported programs. These rules—expected to take effect as soon as July 1, 2025—aim to prevent discrimination based on protected characteristics such as race, gender, age, disability, or religion. While the regulations don't ban AI tools outright, they make it unlawful to use any system, automated or not, that results in discriminatory outcomes.

What Counts as Discriminatory?

The new rules target AI tools that analyze candidates' voices, facial expressions, personality, or availability—especially if those tools lead to biased outcomes.

Example: If an AI tool interprets not smiling during a video interview as a sign of unfriendliness, that could unfairly penalize candidates from cultures where smiling less is common.

Bottom line: If an AI tool results in different outcomes for people in protected groups, it could violate the law—even if there's no intent to discriminate.

What About the Workday Lawsuit?

At the same time, a major collective action lawsuit against Workday, the popular HR tech provider, is moving forward in federal court. The claim? That its AI-powered hiring software discriminated against applicants over age 40.

 The lead plaintiff, Derek Mobley, is a Black man over 40 with anxiety and depression. He says he applied to 100+ jobs using Workday's systems and was rejected every time.



 On May 16, 2025, a judge ruled that his age discrimination case can proceed as a nationwide collective action under the ADEA, potentially involving hundreds of thousands or even millions of job seekers.

This case is a wake-up call for employers: Even if you didn't build the Al tool yourself, you can still be liable for the discriminatory impact of third-party algorithms used in your hiring process.

What Should HR Teams Do Now?

Whether you're in California or not, these developments show that Al compliance is now an HR priority. Here's your action plan:

1. Review Your Tools

Audit your hiring systems—especially those involving AI. Do they analyze resumes, screen video interviews, or give "fit scores"? If yes, ask for proof they're bias-tested.

2. Demand Transparency from Vendor

If you use third-party platforms like Workday, ask for:

- Documentation of bias testing
- Clear explanations of how decisions are made
- Contracts that protect you from legal risk

3. Keep a Human in the Loop

Don't let AI make the final call. Ensure someone in HR reviews and can override automated decisions.

4. Track Outcomes

Analyze hiring data regularly. Are you seeing unexplained gaps by age, race, or gender? These could be signs of disparate impact, a legal red flag.

5. Form an Al Governance Team

Create a cross-functional team (HR, legal, IT) to set policies, vet systems, and monitor ongoing use of AI in employment.

Why It Matters

California's regulations and the Workday lawsuit are just the beginning. With the federal government scaling back enforcement, states and private lawsuits are picking up the slack. This means more legal exposure for companies using Al—especially if they're not watching closely.

HR isn't just a user of these tools anymore. You're now the first line of defense against Al-driven bias. Al can help you hire better, faster—but only if it's used responsibly and fairly. Take these changes seriously, get ahead of the curve, and make sure your hiring process is both efficient and



	• •	
\sim	II IIIta	nın
77	uita	ᇄᇊ

Subscribe to get our Insights delivered to your inbox.

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.